## Ahead but not faster: the effect of high token frequency on sound change

Maciej Baranowski<sup>1</sup>, Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero<sup>1</sup>, George Bailey<sup>1</sup>, and Danielle Turton<sup>2</sup> University of Manchester<sup>1</sup>, Newcastle University<sup>2</sup>

The impact of lexical frequency on phonetic implementation has been argued to support Exemplar Theory in the following way (Bybee 1998, 2002; Pierrehumbert 2001, 2002):

- (a) Synchronically, high-frequency words exhibit more coarticulation and reduction than low-frequency items (e.g. Dinkin 2008, Gahl 2008, Myers & Li 2009).
- (b) This is because, in diachronic processes of lenition, frequent words change at a faster rate than infrequent ones.
- (c) In turn, this is because high-frequency items suffer greater exposure to phonetic biases in production and perception than low-frequency items, and the effects of this difference are directly registered in phonetically detailed lexical representations.

However, hypothesis (b) has not been corroborated by actual diachronic observations in real or apparent time; this includes Hay & Foulkes (2016), whose interpretation of the data is unconvincing. Also, (a) does not logically entail (b): as acknowledged by Hay *et al.* (2015), frequent items can be ahead of infrequent ones, and yet change at the same rate. In such a scenario, the impact of frequency gives rise to a **constant rate effect** (CRE) (Kroch 1989): when modelled as logistic functions, the curves of change for high- and low-frequency items exhibit different intercepts but equal slopes. The existence of CREs in phonology was established by Fruehwald *et al.* (2013); see also Zellou & Tamminga (2014). As regards (c), the empirical predictions of Exemplar Theory remain unclear: in the simplest models, the inertia of a large exemplar cloud cancels out the effects of more frequent exposure to phonetic bias (Sóskuthy 2014).

We challenge (b) with evidence from a CRE in /t/-glottalling in Manchester, UK. Token frequency has a strong effect on /t/-glottalling, but there is no significant difference in the diachronic growth rates of glottalling in high- and low-frequency words. We demonstrate this statistically using LOESS-smoothers, mixed-effects logistic regression, and Kauhanen & Walkden's (2015) mathematical model of the CRE. Our data come from a sociolinguistically stratified sample (62 speakers born 1926-1985; 9,187 tokens of /t/ auditorily coded). Figure 1 shows that changes in apparent time for high- and low-frequency items are not significantly different. Figure 2 shows Kauhanen & Walkden's CRE model, which uses time-invariant contextual biases to derive context-specific curves from a single logistic growth function for all contexts (i.e. all frequency bins). Fitting this more constrained model, with the CRE built in, leads to no increase in error over independent logistic curves.

Furthermore, generalized mixed-effects logistic regression shows that an interaction between Zipf-scaled frequency (SUBTLEX-UK; van Heuven *et al.* 2014) and birthyear does not improve on a model without the interaction. We conclude that the evidence favours a scenario where high- and low-frequency words change at the same rate, thus providing support for a CRE in Manchester /t/-glottalling.

The absence of evidence for (b) suggests that alternatives to (c) should be considered. Frequency-driven CREs are consistent with modified versions of classical modular architectures in which neogrammarian innovation is effected through change in phonetic implementation rules referring to phonological categories in surface representations, whilst the impact of frequency is produced by orthogonal mechanisms (e.g. cascading activation, listener-modelling).



Figure 2

References:

- Bybee, Joan. 1998. The phonology of the lexicon: evidence from lexical diffusion. In Michael Barlow & Suzanne Kemmer (eds.), *Usage-based models of language*, 65-85. Stanford: CSLI Publications.
- Bybee, Joan. 2002. Word frequency and context of use in the lexical diffusion of phonetically conditioned sound change. *Language Variation and Change* 14 (3), 261-90.
- Dinkin, Aaron J. 2008. The real effect of word frequency on phonetic variation. In Joshua Tauberer, Aviad Eilam & Laurel MacKenzie (eds.), *Proceedings of the 31st Annual Penn Linguistics Colloquium* (University of Pennsylvania Working Papers in Linguistics 14.1), 97-106. Philadelphia: Penn Linguistics Club. Available at http://repository.upenn.edu/pwpl/vol14/iss1/8/.
- Fruehwald, Josef, Jonathan Gress-Wright & Joel Wallenberg. 2013. Phonological rule change: the Constant Rate Effect. In Seda Kan, Clair Moore-Cantwell & Robert Staubs (eds.), NELS 40: Proceedings of the 40<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the North East Linguistic Society, vol. 1, 219-30. Amherst, Massachusetts: Graduate Linguistics Student Association, University of Massachusetts.
- Gahl, Susanne. 2008. *Time* and *thyme* are not homophones: the effect of lemma frequency on word durations in spontaneous speech. *Language* 84 (3), 474-96.
- Hay, Jennifer B., Janet B. Pierrehumbert, Abby J. Walker & Patrick LaShell. 2015. Tracking word frequency effects through 130 years of sound change. *Cognition* 139, 83-91.
- Hay, Jennifer & Paul Foulkes. 2016. The evolution of medial /t/ over real and remembered time. *Language* 92 (2), 298-330.
- van Heuven, W. J., Mandera, P., Keuleers, E., & Brysbaert, M. (2014). SUBTLEX- UK: A new and improved word frequency database for British English. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 67(6), 1176-1190.
- Kauhanen, Henri & George Walkden. 2015. Deriving the Constant Rate Effect. Ms, University of Manchester.
- Kroch, Anthony. 1989. Reflexes of grammar in patterns of language change. *Language Variation and Change* 1 (3), 199-244.
- Myers, James & Yingshing Li. 2009. Lexical frequency effects in Taiwan Southern Min syllable contraction. *Journal of Phonetics* 37 (2), 212-30.
- Pierrehumbert, Janet. 2001. Exemplar dynamics: word frequency, lenition and contrast. In Joan L. Bybee & Paul Hopper (eds.), *Frequency and the emergence of language structure*, 137-57. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Pierrehumbert, Janet. 2002. Word-specific phonetics. In Carlos Gussenhoven & Natasha Warner (eds.), *Laboratory Phonology* 7, 101-39. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Sóskuthy, Márton. 2014. Explaining lexical frequency effects: a critique and an alternative account. Paper presented at Sound Change in Interacting Human Systems, 3rd Biennial Workshop on Sound Change, University of California, Berkeley, 29 May 2014. Slides available at

http://linguistics.berkeley.edu/SCIHS/abstracts/1\_ThursdayMorning/Soskuthy.pdf.

Zellou, Georgia & Meredith Tamminga. 2014. Nasal coarticulation changes over time in Philadelphia English. *Journal of Phonetics* 47, 18-35.