The effect of dialect contact and social identity on fricative demerger Brendan Regan (University of Texas at Austin)

While phonetic mergers have been well studied in the fields of historical linguistics and sociolinguistics, demergers/splits remain a relatively underexplored topic (Labov 1994, 2010; Maguire et al. 2013; Nycz 2013; Johnson & Nycz 2015). The possibility of the split of a merger is highly disputed in the literature, under the convention of Garde's Principle, which holds that once a merger has occurred, it will persist, and *Herzog's Principle*, which states that mergers expand at the expense of distinctions. Most scholars agree that a merger will not split due to language-internal reasons alone unless accompanied by language-external motivations (Labov 1994; Hickey 2004). Labov asserts that "as a rule, mergers and splits have no social affect associated with them" (1994: 343) and that splits may occur at an individual level, but not community-wide level. However, recent studies of Andalusian Spanish provide evidence to the contrary: the mergers of ceceo and seseo are demerging to the standard Castilian distinción due to dialect contact (Moya & García-Wiedemann 1995; Villena 1996, 2001; Villena & Sánchez 1996; Melguizo 2007; García 2008; Author 2015). Ceceo is a merger of etymological /s/ and / θ / into a voiceless predorso-dental fricative [s^{θ}]; *distinción* is the realization of two separate phonemes for orthographic <s> and <z,ci,ce>, respectively realized as (apico-) alveolar [s] and interdental $[\theta]$ (Penny 2000).

Based on 80 sociolinguistic interviews conducted by the author (40 male, 40 female; ages 18-80), the current endeavor analyzes the coronal fricative variation in the city of Huelva and the nearby rural town of Lepe. The aim of the research was three-fold: (i) to provide sociophonetic evidence of the demerger of *ceceo* as all previous work has been auditory, with the exception of Lasarte-Cervantes' (2010) four-person case-study; (ii) to compare rural and urban speech communities; and (iii) to illustrate a sociallymotivated demerger on a community-wide scale. The current analysis comes from a fourpart sociolinguistic interview averaging 60 minutes: (i) semi-directed conversation; (ii) paragraph reading; (iii) word lists; (iv) demographic and attitudinal questions. Syllable initial orthographic <s> and <z.ci.ce> were analyzed, yielding 275 tokens per speaker. Tokens were measured acoustically using Praat (Boersma & Weenink 2016) for spectral peak frequency (Hz), first four spectral moments, normalized amplitude (dB), and relative duration (ms). The data were analyzed using a linear mixed effects regression model (R Development Core Team 2010) with both linguistic (orthography, style, preceding segment, following segment, syllabic stress, functionality) and extra-linguistic factors (gender, age, education, occupational prestige, years outside of Lepe/Huelva, local integration) as fixed factors and with speaker as a random factor.

Preliminary analyses indicate that significant predictors of demerged realizations are: years outside of Lepe/Huelva, education, age, gender, orthography, and style based on the measures of normalized amplitude and 2nd spectral moment of variance. The implications of this study are that (i) both speech communities are moving from merged *ceceo* to demerged *distinción*; (ii) the motivation for this community-wide split is inherently social, suggesting that sociolinguistic theory should incorporate more non-English examples to challenge long-standing claims regarding mergers/splits.

References

- Author (2015). Variationist analysis of *ceceo* (de-)merger in Huelva (Western Andalucía). Submitted Manuscript.
- Boersma, P., & Weenink, D. (1999-2016). Praat: A system for doing phonetics by computer. Version 6.0.04 [computer program]. http://www.praat.org.
- García Amaya, L. J. (2008). Variable norms in the production of /θ/ in Jerez de la Frontera, Spain. In *IUWPL7: Gender in Language*, ed. by J. Siegel, T. Nagle, A. Lorente-Lapole, & J. Auguer, 49-71. Bloomington, IN: IULC Publications.
- Hickey, R. (2004). Mergers, near-mergers and phonological interpretation. In Christian J. Kay, Carole Hough, & Irené Wotherspoon (eds.), New Perspectives on English Historical Linguistics, 125-137. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Johnson, D. E. & J. Nycz. (2015). Partial Mergers and Near-Distinctions: Stylistic Layering in Dialect Acquisition. U. Penn Working Papers in Linguistics 21(2): 108-117.
- Labov, W. (1994). *Principles of Linguistic Change: Volume 1: Internal Factors*. New York: Blackwell Publishers.
- Labov, W. (2010). Principles of Linguistic Change: Volume 3: Cognitive and Cultural Factors. Malden, MA: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Lasarte Cervantes, M.C. (2010). Datos para la fundamentación empírica de la escisión fonemática prestigiosa de /θ^s/ en Andalucía. *Nueva Revista de Filología Hispánica* 58(2): 483-516.
- Maguire, W., L. Clark, & K. Watson (2013). Introduction: what are mergers and can they be reversed? *English Language and Linguistics* 17.2: 2239-239.
- Melguizo Moreno, E. (2007). La variación de $/\theta^{s}/$: Estudio comparativo de dos muestras de población de Granada. *ELUA* 21(1): 1-16.
- Moya Corral, J.A., & E. García Wiedemann. (1995). *El habla de Granada y sus barrios*. Granada: Universidad de Granada.
- Nycz, J. (2013). New contrast acquisition: methodological issues and theoretical implications. *English Language and Linguistics* 17(2): 325-357.
- Penny, R. (2000). Variation and Change in Spanish. Cambridge: Cambridge UP.
- R Development Core Team. (2010). R: Language and environment for statistical computing.
- Villena Ponsoda, J.A. (1996). Convergence and divergence in a standard-dialect continuum: Networks and individuals in Málaga. *Sociolinguistica* 10: 112–137.
- Villena Ponsoda, J.A. (2001). La continuidad del cambio lingüístico. Tendencias conservadoras e innovadoras en la fonología del español a la luz de la investigación sociolingüística urbana. Granada: Universidad.
- Villena Ponsoda, J.A., & F. Requena Santos (1996). Género, Educación y Uso Lingüístico: La variación social y reticular de S y Z en la ciudad de Málaga. Lingüística (ALFAL) 8: 5-51.